IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

CRIMINAL DIVISION

VS.

•

OTN-H 695 630-5

JOHN GAGLIARDI,

PROCEEDINGS: Motion for

Return of property

Defendant.

Filed By:

Amanda K. Haffenden, RPR Official Court Reporter

DATE:

February 24, 2004

HELD BEFORE:

Hon. Lawrence J. O'Toole

COUNSEL PRESENT:

For the Commonwealth: Anthony Krastek, Esq.

THE COURT: All right. What are we doing with this case? I read your motion, your reply, that this case has already been in front of Judge DeAngelis.

MR. KRASTEK: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Judge DeAngelis has ruled that in some fashion to do what?

MR. KRASTEK: Well, basically, he's never granted the motion for return of property. There have been times that we tried to resolve this, short of Court Order, and we've even done it after Judge DeAngelis ruled three times against the defendant. And we haven't been able to accomplish the return, even though I keep winning the motion for return of property in its various forms. This is simply — this is the same motion, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Your Honor, that's not so, what he's saying. I wanted the computer drive not to be taken out --

THE COURT: Time out. I don't care about all that. This case has a history, does it not?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: This has been in front of Judge DeAngelis, has it not?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. He ruled on it. Yeah, he ruled that they were supposed to give me everything back.

MR. KRASTEK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's not what I read in here.

MR. KRASTEK: If you see Exhibit A, it's very telling, Exhibit A in my answer.

It's very telling the kind of tortured history this case has.

THE COURT: Why is this so confusing? Why can't you two get along?

brought the computers over to my office, and I asked them if they were going to hook them up, because they took them and disconnected them and took them away. I have an expert that can tell you that a computer — they could have took everything out of my computer right in my office. They was there over three hours, and they could have put it on to another drive, and they had everything that was on my computer.

THE COURT: They didn't do that.

They took your computer.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: So we're beyond that point.

THE DEFENDANT: Then they never brought -- there's two pages --

THE COURT: My point is, Judge

DeAngelis has already issued written rulings;
is that correct?

MR. KRASTEK: Yes, Your Honor, at least three.

THE DEFENDANT: In the transcripts, in the transcripts, you can see where they agreed to give me these items back, copies of these items. I never got nothing back.

THE COURT: How can I do something different than what Judge DeAngelis did?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, you can ask
him — they took pictures of my invention
inside the warehouse. It didn't have nothing
to do with the office, of both my multi-lift
elevator, multi-stage lift elevator, and then I
had natural gas co-generation that I'm working
on. You get either electric or heat free, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they took all these pictures in there.

They admit that they took the They won't give me my pictures back. pictures. That has nothing to do with this letter that they say I forged that I didn't forge. doesn't have nothing to do with that, and they Their search warrant go into the warehouse. they had didn't allow them to go into the warehouse, didn't tell them to go into the warehouse, but they did.

Then they had me open cabinets and different things in the warehouse, and then they says, Oh, oh, close those cabinets, because they seen stuff in there that didn't have nothing to do with --

But the story you're THE COURT: telling me, the narrative is something, I take it, that has been gone over between you and Mr. Krastek and Judge DeAngelis; is that right?

> THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT:

All right. Well, then --THE DEFENDANT: But they did agree -unless he wants to stand here and lie, they did agree that they were going to give them back to I never got them back. I never got

nothing back.

THE COURT: Well, I heard you say that you made attempts to resolve this?

MR. KRASTEK: Yes, Your Honor. Even though we feel we properly took the computer and all the materials, as a courtesy, when we take a computer, we copy the hard drive. You can't tell the difference between the copied hard drive and the regular hard drives, and we've made efforts to give it back to him, even though we keep winning the motions for return of property, but it's that part, that courtesy that he won't — there's always a problem when we try to return it to to him.

THE COURT: Well, it seems to me I can't change any ruling Judge DeAngelis has made. He has the same jurisdiction I do and the same authority that I do; theoretically, you could go from judge to judge to judge in the courthouse until you could find somebody to make a ruling in your favor, and that would be, as I understand the law, illegal. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: There's a suit that I have. You have it in the pleadings there.

THE COURT: Yes, I saw it.

THE DEFENDANT: That the county now has taken the case into federal court, another case that I have.

THE COURT: I saw that, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. And I guess if you want to make an order that I can go to the Superior Court with, I'd like to get that.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to deny your request and relief as something I can't entertain because it's already been ruled on by Judge DeAngelis. Okay. Have you ever appealed from any of Judge DeAngelis' rulings?

MR. KRASTEK: All of them. They've all been denied by the Superior Court.

THE DEFENDANT: Krastek, I'm going to ask you one question: Did you ever join the Masons? Did you? I'd like to know.

THE COURT: Did you ever look at a dollar bill? See that pyramid on there? You know what that's about, don't you? Read your history.

Thank you for coming in. Sorry I can't help you out here.

(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)

OF ALLEGHENY,

OF ST.

J

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Amanda K. Haffenden, do hereby certify that the evidence and proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the machine shorthand notes taken by me at the hearing of the within cause, and that the same were transcribed under my supervision and direction, and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

Official Court Reporter Court of Common Pleas

The foregoing record of the proceedings upon the hearing of the above cause is hereby approved and directed to be filed.

Judge